arXiv:1506.02695v2 [math.GR] 2 Oct 2015

Diameter of a direct power of a finite group

Nasim Karimi [*]
nakareme@gmail.com

October 5, 2015

Abstract

We present two conjectures concerning the diameter of a direct power of a finite group. The first conjecture states that the diameter of G^n with respect to any generating set is at most $n(|G|-\operatorname{rank}(G))$; and the second one states that there exists a generating set A, of minimum size, for G^n such that the diameter of G^n with respect to A is at most $n(|G|-\operatorname{rank}(G))$. We will establish evidence for each of the above mentioned conjectures.

1 Introduction

There are several results concerning the calculation of the diameter of a finite group in the literature (e.g.,[1, 2, 3]). But, it is the first time that the author is explicitly interested in the diameter of a direct power of a finite group. In fact, the motivation comes from a semigrooup problem. More precisely, in [7] the notion of depth parameters for a finite semigroup has been introduced and beside estimating the depth parameters of some families of finite semigroups, the behaviour of one of the depth parameters with respect to the direct product and wreath product have been verified . There, the diameter of a direct power of a group play an essential role in the control of the length of elements in the group of units of a semigroup.

Let G be a finite group with a generating set A. By the diameter of G with respect to A we mean the maximum over $g \in G$ of the length of the shortest word in A representing g. Our definition here is a bit different from the one which has been usually considered in the literature. Usually group theorists define the diameter to be the maximum over $g \in G$ of the length of a shortest word in $A \cup A^{-1}$ representing g. Let us call this version of the diameter to be "symmetric diameter". An asymptotic estimate of the symmetric diameters of non-Abelian simple groups with respect to various types of generating sets can be found in the survey [3], which also lists related work, e.g., on the diameters of permutation groups. We are interested in the behaviour of the diameter with respect to the direct product. Specially, we focus on the direct power of a finite group. More precisely, let G be a finite group and G^n be the n-th direct power of G. Let A be a minimal generating set of G^n. Our objective is to find a reasonable answer to the following question. How large can be the diameter of G^n with respect to A? A simple argument shows that the diameter of a group with respect to any generating set is bounded above by the group order minus the group rank (see Proposition 2.8). This bound is tight for cyclic groups. It is obvious that G^n is not cyclic for $n \geq 2$ and $|G| \neq 1$. Then, the following natural question arises. Is there any smaller upper bound (less than $|G^n| - \operatorname{rank}(G^n)$) for the diameter of G^n? In fact, $|G|^n - \operatorname{rank}(G^n)$ is exponentially large in terms of $|G|$. The more precise question in which we are really interested is whether the diameter of a direct power of a finite group is polynomially bounded. These questions lead to the following conjectures. Throughout this paper, G^n denotes the n-th direct power of the group G.

---

[*] Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Campus A. C. Simes - Av. Lourival Melo Mota, s/n, Cidade Universitária, Maceió, Alagoas, 57072-900, Brasil Tel: +55 (82) 3214-1418, Fax: +55 (82) 3214-1418

Conjecture 1.1 (strong)**.** *Let G be a finite group. Then the diameter $D(G^n)$ is at most $n(|G| - \mathrm{rank}(G))$.*

Conjecture 1.2 (weak)**.** *Let G be a finite group. Then there exists a generating set A for G^n of minimum size such that*

$$\mathrm{diam}(G^n, A) \leq n(|G| - \mathrm{rank}(G)). \tag{1}$$

Note that both conjectures hold for trivial groups. As the second conjecture is a consequence of the first one, it may be easier to establish. Anyway, each of the proposed conjectures has advantages and disadvantages when attempting to prove them. The difficulty in proving the weak conjecture is dealing with generating sets of minimum size for the direct powers of finite groups. Finding such a generating set is itself a problem. Nevertheless, there exist in the literature many results concerning the computation of the rank of a direct power of a finite group, e.g., [13, 14, 15, 16, 11]. On the other hand, every direct power G^n of a finite group has a generating set, called canonical generating set (Definition 4.1), which satisfies the inequality (1). So, the weak conjecture for groups whose rank is equal to the size of the canonical generating set is true. For instance, the canonical generating set for direct powers of nilpotent groups is a generating set of minimum size (Corollary 4.4). Therefore, nilpotent groups satisfy the weak conjecture easily. However, the canonical generating set is not always a generating set of minimum size. Then the difficulty of establishing the weak conjecture appears when we consider the groups for which the rank of their direct powers is not equal to the size of the canonical generating set. On the other hand, the strong conjecture concerns arbitrary generating sets. It has the advantage that there are many results in the literature concerning the computation of the diameter of a finite group with respect to an arbitrary generating set. Hence, we may use the upper bounds obtained by other authors to approach the strong conjecture .

This paper is organised as follows. After Preliminaries, in Section 3, we show that Abelian groups satisfy the strong conjecture. Section 4 deals with generating sets of minimum size for direct powers of finite groups. In Section 5, we show that the weak conjecture is true for nilpotent groups, symmetric groups and the alternating group A_4. Also we show that the weak conjecture holds for dihedral groups under some restrictions on n. Finally, in the last section, we present some polynomial upper bounds for the diameter of a direct power of a solvable group.

2 Preliminaries

Since the notion of diameter of a finite group has different definitions in the literature we introduce here our definitions and notation precisely.

Let G be a finite group with a generating set A. Denote by A^{-1} the set $\{a^{-1} : a \in A\}$. By the *symmetric length* of an element $g \in G$, with respect to A, we mean the minimum length of a sequence which represents g in terms of elements in $A \cup A^{-1}$. Denote this parameter by $l^s_A(g)$.

Now, we have the following different definitions for the diameter of a finite group with respect to a generating set.

Definition 2.1. *Let G be a finite group with generating set A. By the* diameter *of G with respect to A we mean*

$$\mathrm{diam}(G, A) := \max\{l_A(g) : g \in G\}.$$

Definition 2.2. *Let G be a finite group with generating set A. By the* symmetric diameter *of G with respect to A we mean*

$$\mathrm{diam}^s(G, A) := \max\{l^s_A(g) : g \in G\}.$$

Notation 2.3. *Denote by $D(G)$ (respectively $D^s(G)$) the maximum diameter (respectively symmetric diameter) over all generating sets of G.*

Remark 2.4. *Let G be a finite group with a generating set A. For $g \in G$ we have $l^s_A(g) \leq l_A(g)$ and $D^s(G) \leq D(G)$.*

The terminology of diameter and symmetric diameter of a group comes from the diameter of the Cayley graph and the directed Cayley graph of a group.

Definition 2.5. *By the* Cayley graph *of a group G with respect to a generating set A we mean the graph whose set of vertices is G and such that there is an edge between $g_1, g_2 \in G$ if and only if $g_1^{-1}g_2 \in A \cup A^{-1}$. We denote this graph by* $\mathrm{Cay}(G, A)$.

Definition 2.6. *By* directed Cayley graph *of group G with respect to a generating set A we mean the directed graph whose set of vertices is G and such that there is an edge from g_1 to g_2 if and only if $g_1^{-1}g_2 \in A$. We denote this graph by $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{Cay}}(G, A)$.*

Definition 2.7. *A directed graph is called* strongly connected *if it contains a directed path from u to v and a directed path from v to u for every pair of vertices u, v.*

The distance between two vertices in a connected graph is the length of the shortest path between them. In the case of a strongly connected directed graph the distance between two vertices u and v is defined as the length of the shortest path from u to v. Notice that, in contrast with the case of undirected graphs, the distance between u and v dose not necessarily coincide with the distance between v and u, so it is not a distance in the metric sense of the word. We consider the *diameter* of a (strongly connected directed) graph as usual, that is, the maximum of distances between two vertices over all pairs of vertices in the vertex set. It is easy to see that the symmetric diameter of a group with respect to a generating set is equal to the diameter of the corresponding Cayley graph; and the diameter of a group with respect to a generating set is equal to the diameter of the corresponding directed Cayley graph (note that the directed Cayley graph of a group is always strongly connected).

The following proposition gives a general upper bound for the diameter of a finite group.

Proposition 2.8. *Let G be a finite group. The inequality $D(G) \leq |G| - \mathrm{rank}(G)$ holds.*

Proof. Let X be an arbitrary generating set of G. It is enough to show that $\mathrm{diam}(G, X) \leq |G| - \mathrm{rank}(G)$. We can suppose, without loss of generality, that $1 \notin X$. Let $\mathrm{diam}(G, X) = t$. There exist $g \in G$ and $x_1, x_2 \ldots, x_t \in X$ such that $g = x_1x_2 \cdots x_t$ and t is the smallest number for which g has such a kind of decomposition in X. Hence $x_1, x_1x_2, \ldots, x_1x_2 \cdots x_t$ are t distinct non identity elements of G. On the other hand, X has $|X| - 1$ elements distinct from $x_1, x_1x_2, \ldots, x_1x_2 \cdots x_t$. By adding the identity to these distinct elements we get $|G| \geq t + |X| - 1 + 1$, which gives the inequality $\mathrm{diam}(G, X) \leq |G| - |X|$. Now, the result follows from $\mathrm{rank}(G) \leq |X|$. ☐

3 Abelian groups and the strong conjecture

A canonical decomposition of a finite Abelian group G is an expression of G as a direct product of cyclic subgroups whose orders $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k$ satisfy $m_i \mid m_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Then, $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k$ are the invariants of G. Following the notation in [8], we say G is of type $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k)$. By using the following theorem which has been proved in [9] it is easy to show that Abelian groups satisfy the strong conjecture.

Theorem 3.1. *[9] Let G be a finite Abelian group of type $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k)$. We have*

$$D(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(m_i - 1).$$

Corollary 3.2. *Let G^n be a direct power of a finite Abelian group G. The following inequality holds:*

$$D(G^n) = nD(G) \leq n\,(|G| - \operatorname{rank}(G)).$$

Proof. Let $G = C_1 \times C_2 \times \cdots \times C_k$ be a canonical decomposition of G. We have

$$G^n \cong C_1^n \times C_2^n \times \cdots \times C_k^n.$$

Using Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$D(G^n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n\,(|C_i| - 1) = n\sum_{i=1}^{k}(|C_i| - 1) \leq n\,(|G| - \operatorname{rank}(G)). \quad \square$$

4 Generating sets of minimum size

Finding a generating set of minimum size for a direct power of a group is itself a problem. Nevertheless, there exist in the literature many results concerning the computation of the rank of a direct power of a finite group, e.g., [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. We use such kind of results to find generating sets of minimum size for direct powers of some families of finite groups such as the symmetric group S_n and the dihedral group D_n. With a different approach (see [5]) we establish generating sets of minimum size for the direct powers A_5^2, A_5^3, A_5^4, where A_5 is the alternating group of degree five.

Definition 4.1. *Let G be a finite group with a generating set A. By the* canonical generating set *of G^n with respect to A, we mean the set*

$$C^n(A) := \{(1, \ldots, \overbrace{a}^{i\,\mathrm{th}}, \ldots, 1) : i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}, a \in A\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a finite group with a generating set A. For $n \geq 1$ the following inequality holds:*

$$\operatorname{diam}(G^n, C^n(A)) \leq n \operatorname{diam}(G, A).$$

Proof. For given $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$ we have $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1, \ldots, g_i, \ldots, 1)$. Then, we have

$$l_{C^n(A)}(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{C^n(A)}(1, \ldots, g_i, \ldots, 1).$$

By the definition of $C^n(A)$, for $i \geq 1$, we have

$$l_{C^n(A)}(1, \ldots, g_i, \ldots, 1) \leq \operatorname{diam}(G, A)$$

which gives the desired conclusion. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. [13] *Let G be a finite group and k be a positive integer. The following inequalities hold:*

$$k \operatorname{rank}(G/G') \leq \operatorname{rank}(G^k) \leq k \operatorname{rank}(G), \tag{2}$$

where G' is the commutator subgroup of G.

The following is an application of Lemma 4.3.

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be a finite group. If* $\operatorname{rank}(G) = \operatorname{rank}(G/G')$*, then the following equality holds:*

$$\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = n \operatorname{rank}(G). \tag{3}$$

In particular, nilpotent groups satisfy this property.

Proof. The first statement is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.3. We prove the second statement. Note that, if H is a homomorphic image of a finite group G, then $\operatorname{rank}(H) \leq \operatorname{rank}(G)$. Therefore, it is enough to show that $\operatorname{rank}(G) \leq \operatorname{rank}(G/G')$ for every finite nilpotent group G. Let $A = \{g_1G', g_2G', \ldots, g_kG'\}$ be a generating set of G/G' of minimum size. Consider an arbitrary element $g \in G$. There exist some $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ such that $gG' = g_{i_1}g_{i_2}\ldots g_{i_l}G'$. This shows that G is generated by $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k\}$ together with some elements in G'. Because G is nilpotent, it is generated by $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k\}$ alone, see [10, page 350]. Therefore, we get $\operatorname{rank}(G) \leq \operatorname{rank}(G/G')$, which completes the proof. ☐

Definition 4.5. *A group is said to be* perfect *if it equals its own commutator subgroup.*

Lemma 4.6. *Let G be a finite group which is not perfect. If G can be generated by k elements of mutually coprime orders, then*

$$\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = n,$$

for $n \geq k$.

Proof. Because G is not perfect, it follows from Lemma 4.3 that $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) \geq n$. Suppose $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$ is a generating set of G such that the a_i's are of mutually coprime orders. Let $n \geq k$. We construct a generating set of size n for G^n. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, define the elements $g_i \in G^n$ as follows:

$$g_i = (1, \ldots, \overbrace{a_1}^{i\,\text{th}}, a_2, \ldots, a_k, \ldots, 1) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-k+1,$$

$$g_i = (a_{n-i+2}, a_{n-i+3}, \ldots, a_k, 1, \ldots, 1, \overbrace{a_1}^{i\,\text{th}}, \ldots, a_{n-i+1}) \text{ for } n-k+2 \leq i \leq n.$$

We prove that $C = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n\}$ is a generating set of G^n. If we show that C generates $C^n(A)$, then we are done. Choose an arbitrary element $(1, \ldots, a_i, \ldots, 1) \in C^n(A)$. Since the a_i's are of mutually coprime orders, there exists a positive integer ℓ such that

$$(1, \ldots, a_i, \ldots, 1) = (1, \ldots, a_1, \ldots, a_i, \ldots, a_k, \ldots, 1)^{\ell}.$$

This yields the desired conclusion. ☐

4.1 Symmetric groups S_n

Denote by $\operatorname{ord}(g)$ the order of a group element g.

Lemma 4.7. *For $n \geq 3$ the symmetric group S_n can be generated by two elements of coprime order.*

Proof. Define the permutations a, a' and b as follows:

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \ldots & n \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & \ldots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, a' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \ldots & n \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & \ldots & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \ldots & n \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & \ldots & n \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is known that the full cycle a and the transposition b generate S_n [6]. On the other hand, note that $a'b = a$. Hence the sets $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a', b\}$ are generating sets of S_n. Note that

$$\operatorname{ord}(a) = n, \ \operatorname{ord}(b) = 2, \ \operatorname{ord}(a') = n-1.$$

Therefore, for odd n, the set $A = \{a, b\}$ and, for even n, the set $A' = \{a', b\}$ are the desired generating sets. ☐

Corollary 4.8. *For $k \geq 2$, the equality*

$$\mathrm{rank}(S_n^k) = k,$$

holds.

Proof. Since the derived subgroup of S_n is A_n and S_n is not perfect, the assertion follows immediately by Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7. □

4.2 Dihedral groups D_n

Definition 4.9. *The dihedral group D_n is the group of symmetries of a regular polygon with n sides.*

We consider the *dihedral group D_n* as a subgroup of S_n.

Proposition 4.10. *For odd n and $k \geq 2$, the rank of D_n^k is k and, for even n, the rank of D_n^k is $2k$.*

Proof. Suppose for the moment that n is odd. Let

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n-1 & n \\ 1 & n & n-1 & \dots & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that $A = \{a, b\}$ is a generating set of D_n. Because a, b have coprime orders, Lemma 4.6 gives the desired conclusion.

Now let n be even. The commutator subgroup of D_n is a cyclic group of order $\frac{n}{2}$, and the quotient group is the Klein four-group and thus

$$\mathrm{rank}(\frac{D_n}{D_n'}) = 2.$$

On the other hand, we have $\mathrm{rank}(D_n) = 2$. Using Corollary 4.4, we get $\mathrm{rank}(D_n^k) = 2k$. □

4.3 Alternating groups A_n

The following example gives a generating set of minimum size for a direct power of the alternating group A_4.

Example 4.11. *It is easy to see that A_4 is generated by the two elements*

$$\alpha = (1\ 2)(3\ 4), \ \beta = (1\ 2\ 3).$$

Since A_4 is not perfect and α, β have coprime orders by Lemma 4.6, the rank of A_4^n is equal to n, for $n \geq 2$.

Recall that the alternating groups A_n for $n \geq 5$ are simple. Since non-Abelian simple groups are perfect, the alternating groups A_n for $n \geq 5$ are perfect. There is a different approach to compute the rank of the direct power of perfect groups using the Eulerian function of a group (see [5, 13]). The following lemma is a consequence of the results in [5].

Lemma 4.12. *Let G be a non-Abelian simple group. If G is generated by n elements, then the set $\{(a_{i1}, a_{i2} \dots, a_{ik}) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ will generate G^k if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *the set $\{a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \dots, a_{ni}\}$ is a generating set of G for $i = 1, \dots, k$;*
2. *there is no automorphism $f : G \to G$ which maps $(a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \dots, a_{ni})$ to $(a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{nj})$ for any $i \neq j$.*

Furthermore, in [5] Hall shows that the alternating group A_5 satisfies Lemma 4.12 with $n = 2$ for $1 \leq k \leq 19$ and not for $k \geq 20$.

Therefore, the following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.12.

Corollary 4.13. *A pair* $(s_1, \dots, s_k), (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ *will generate* A_5^k *if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *the set* $\{s_i, t_i\}$ *is a generating set of* A_5 *for* $i = 1, ..., k$*;*
2. *there is no automorphism* $f : A_5 \to A_5$ *which maps* (s_i, t_i) *to* (s_j, t_j) *for any* $i \neq j$*.*

Furthermore, $k = 19$ *is the largest number for which these conditions can be satisfied. That is, the rank of* A_5^k *is equal to* 2 *if and only if* $1 \leq k \leq 19$*.*

4.4 Solvable Groups

The following theorem has been proved by Wiegold in [14].

Theorem 4.14. *[14] Let* G *be a finite non-trivial solvable group, and set* $\operatorname{rank}(G) = \alpha, \ \operatorname{rank}(G/G') = \beta$*. The equality* $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = \beta n$ *holds, for* $n \geq \alpha/\beta$*.*

5 Diameter of direct powers of groups

Here, we present some families of groups which satisfy the weak conjecture.

Remark 5.1. *Every group* G *with the property*

$$\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = n \operatorname{rank}(G), \tag{4}$$

satisfies the weak conjecture. More precisely, if A *is a generating set of* G *with minimum size then* $C^n(A)$ *is a generating set of minimum size for* G^n*. So, the statement is obvious by Lemma 4.2.*

Then it suffices to justify the weak conjecture for groups that do not have property (4)*. In particular, by Corollary 4.4, every nilpotent group has property* (4) *.*

Proposition 5.2. *Let* G *be a solvable group such that* $\alpha = \operatorname{rank}(G)$ *and* $\beta = \operatorname{rank}(G/G')$*. Then* G^n *satisfies the weak conjecture for* $n \geq \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$*.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.14, we have $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = n\operatorname{rank}(G/G')$. Since G/G' is Abelian, we have $\operatorname{rank}((G/G')^n) = n\operatorname{rank}(G/G')$. Moreover, since $G^n/(G')^n \cong (G/G')^n$ and $(G')^n \cong (G^n)'$ we get $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = \operatorname{rank}(G^n/(G^n)')$. It means that G^n satisfies the property of Corollary 4.4. Now the result follows from Remark 5.1. ☐

Remark 5.3. *Let* $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in G^n = \langle A \rangle$*. Since* $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ *is a product of* n *elements of the form* $(1, \dots, g_i, \dots, 1)$*, then we have*

$$l_A(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_A(1, \dots, g_i, \dots, 1). \tag{5}$$

The following easy lemma gives an upper bound for the diameter of a direct power of a finite group G in terms of the diameter of the group G. We use this lemma in the next section to prove that the symmetric group S_n satisfies the weak conjecture.

Lemma 5.4. *For a given generating set* A *of* G^n*, we have*

$$\operatorname{diam}(G^n, A) \leq M l_A(C^n(X)) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{diam}(G, A\pi_i),$$

where

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (A\pi_i \setminus \{1\}),$$

and $\pi_i : G^n \to G$ *maps each element to its i-th cordinate.*

Proof. Let $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$. Since, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $A\pi_i \setminus \{1\}$ is a generating set of G, there exist

$$g_{i1}, g_{i2}, \ldots, g_{ik_i} \in A\pi_i \setminus \{1\}, \text{ for some } k_i \leq \operatorname{diam}(G, A\pi_i),$$

such that

$$g_i = g_{i1} g_{i2} \cdots g_{ik_i}.$$

This gives

$$(1, \ldots, g_i, \ldots, 1) = \prod_{j=1}^{k_i} (1, \ldots, g_{ij}, \ldots, 1),$$

hence,

$$l_A(1, \ldots, g_i, \ldots, 1) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} l_A(1, \ldots, g_{ij}, \ldots, 1). \tag{6}$$

Substituting (6) into (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
l_A(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} l_A(1, \ldots, g_{ij}, \ldots, 1) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} M l_A(C^n(X)) \\
&= M l_A(C^n(X)) \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \\
&\leq M l_A(C^n(X)) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{diam}(G, A\pi_i),
\end{aligned}$$

in which the second inequality is due to the fact that

$$(1, \ldots, g_{ij}, \ldots, 1) \in C^n(X).$$

This finishes the proof. □

5.1 Symmetric groups S_n and the weak conjecture

Here, our goal is to show that the symmetric group S_n satisfies the weak conjecture. First, we apply Lemma 5.4 to show that S_n satisfies the weak conjecture for $n \geq 7$. Then, we discuss the case $n \leq 6$.

Example 5.5. *Let A, A' be the generating sets defined in Lemma 4.7 and C, C' be the corresponding generating sets of S_n^k constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.6, for odd and even n, respectively. Note that*

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (C\pi_i \setminus \{1\}) = \{a, b\}, \ X' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (C'\pi_i \setminus \{1\}) = \{a', b\}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C^k(X) &= \{(1, \ldots, a, \ldots, 1) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{(1, \ldots, b, \ldots, 1) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \\
C^k(X') &= \{(1, \ldots, a', \ldots, 1) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{(1, \ldots, b, \ldots, 1) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If n is odd, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1, \ldots, a, \ldots, 1) &= (1, \ldots, a, b, \ldots, 1)^{n+1}, \\ (1, \ldots, b, \ldots, 1) &= (1, \ldots, a, b, \ldots, 1)^{n}. \end{aligned}$$

If n is even, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1, \ldots, a', \ldots, 1) &= (1, \ldots, a', b, \ldots, 1)^{n}, \\ (1, \ldots, b, \ldots, 1) &= (1, \ldots, a', b, \ldots, 1)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$Ml_C(C^k(X)) \leq n+1, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd}, \tag{7}$$

$$Ml_{C'}(C^k(X')) \leq n, \text{ if } n \text{ is even}. \tag{8}$$

Lemma 5.6. *Let A, A' be the generating sets defined in Lemma 4.7. Then the following inequalities hold:*

$$\operatorname{diam}(S_n, A) \leq (n-1)(2n-3)(n+1),$$

$$\operatorname{diam}(S_n, A') \leq (n-1)(2n-3)(2n+1).$$

Proof. A simple calculation shows that, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$a^{n-i+1}ba^{i-1} = (a'b)^{n-i+1}b(a'b)^{i-1} = (i, i+1).$$

Therefore, we have

$$l_A(i, i+1) \leq n+1, \quad l_{A'}(i, i+1) \leq 2n+1.$$

Let $(i, i+k)$ be an arbitrary transposition in S_n. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (i, i+k) \quad = \quad & (i, i+1)(i+1, i+2) \cdots (i+k-1, i+k)(i+k-2, i+k-1) \\ & \cdots (i+1, i+2)(i, i+1), \end{aligned}$$

every transposition is a product of at most $2n-3$ transpositions of the form $(i, i+1)$. It follows that

$$l_A(i, i+k) \leq (2n-3)(n+1), \quad l_{A'}(i, i+k) \leq (2n-3)(2n+1).$$

Consider a permutation σ in S_n. Because every permutation in S_n is a product of at most $n-1$ transpositions, we have

$$Ml_A(\sigma) \leq (n-1)(2n-3)(n+1), \quad Ml_{A'}(\sigma) = (n-1)(2n-3)(2n+1).$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Lemma 5.7. *Let A and A' be the generating sets defined in Lemma 4.7 and C and C' be the corresponding generating sets of S_n^k constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.6, for odd and even n, respectively. For $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$, we have*

$$\operatorname{diam}(S_n^k, C) \leq k(n-1)(2n-3)(n+1)^2,$$

provided that n is odd, and we have

$$\operatorname{diam}(S_n^k, C') \leq kn(n-1)(2n-3)(2n+1),$$

provided that n is even.

Proof. Using Lemmas 5.4, 5.6 and the inequalities (7),(8) in Example 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{diam}(S_n^k, C) &\le Ml_C(C^k(A)) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{diam}(S_n, A)\\ &\le k\ Ml_C(C^k(A))\operatorname{diam}(S_n, A)\\ &\le k\ Ml_C(C^k(A))(n-1)(2n-3)(n+1)\\ &\le k\ (n+1)(n-1)(2n-3)(n+1),\end{aligned}$$

provided that n is odd. Similar arguments apply to the case where n is even, which yields the second inequality. ☐

Corollary 5.8. *The symmetric group S_n satisfies the weak conjecture for $n \ge 7$.*

Proof. We have $(n-1)(2n-3)(n+1)^2 \le 2(n^2-1)^2 \le 2n^4$. We induct on $n \ge 7$ to show that $2n^4 \le n! - 2$. The inequality holds for $n = 7$. For $n > 7$, since $2(n+1)^4 = 2n^4 + 8n^3 + 12n^2 + 8n + 2 \le 10n^4$, then the induction hypothesis gives the required conclusion. Whence, for $n \ge 7$, we have

$$(n-1)(2n-3)(n+1)^2 \le n! - 2.$$

Also we know that $n(n-1)(2n-3)(2n+1) \le 4(n^2-1)^2 \le 4n^4$. By induction on $n \ge 8$ we show that $4n^4 \le n! - 2$. The inequality holds for $n = 8$. For $n > 8$, since $4(n+1)^4 = 4n^4 + 16n^3 + 24n^2 + 16n + 4 \le 20n^4$, then the induction hypothesis gives the required conclusion. Whence, for $n \ge 8$, we have

$$n(n-1)(2n-3)(2n+1) \le n! - 2.$$

Now the result is immediate by Lemma 5.7. ☐

Note that the symmetric group S_2 is Abelian so satisfies the weak conjecture. We show that the weak conjecture is true for the symmetric group S_n, for $n = 4, 5, 6$. Let A, A' be the generating sets defined in Lemma 4.7 and C, C' be the corresponding generating sets constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.6. We can calculate the diameter of S_n with respect to A, A' for small values of n by using a package called GRAPE [1]in GAP. Here is the result:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{diam}(S_4, A') &= 7,\\ \operatorname{diam}(S_5, A) &= 11,\\ \operatorname{diam}(S_6, A') &= 17.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.4 and the inequalities (7), (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{diam}(S_4^k, C') &\le 28k\\ \operatorname{diam}(S_5^k, C) &\le 66k\\ \operatorname{diam}(S_6^k, C') &\le 102k.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the symmetric group S_n satisfies the weak conjecture for $n = 5, 6$ but the above upper bound for S_4 is greater than the upper bound of the weak conjecture. We perform an alternative computation to establish the weak conjecture for S_4. By Remark 5.3, it suffices to show that for $1 \le i \le k$, the elements

---

[1] You may find this package at http://www.gap-system.org/Packages/grape.html.

$(1,\dots,g_i,\dots,1)$ may be presented as products of at most 22 generators in the generating set C'. Since we have

$$\begin{align} (1,\dots,a',b,\dots,1)^2 &= (1,\dots,1,b^2,\dots,1), \tag{9}\\ (1,\dots,a',b,\dots,1)^4 &= (1,\dots,1,b,\dots,1), \tag{10}\\ (1,\dots,a',b,\dots,1)^3 &= (1,\dots,a',1,\dots,1), \tag{11}\end{align}$$

then

$$\begin{align} l_{C'}(1,\dots,b^2,\dots,1) &\le 2, \tag{12}\\ l_{C'}(1,\dots,b,\dots,1) &\le 4, \tag{13}\\ l_{C'}(1,\dots,a',\dots,1) &\le 3, \tag{14}\end{align}$$

for $1 \le i \le n$. On the other hand, the elements of S_4 in the generating set $\{a', b\}$ can be represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_4 =\{&a', b, a'^2, a'b, ba', b^2, a'ba', a'b^2, ba'b, b^2a', (a'b)^2, a'b^2a', (ba')^2,\\ &ba'b^2, b^2a'b, (a'b)^2a', (a'b)^2b, a'b^2a'b, ba'b^2a', b^2a'ba', (a'b)^2ba',\\ &a'b^2a'ba', ba'b^2a'b, (a'b)^2ba'\}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, it is easy to check that for every $g \in S_4$ and for $1 \le i \le k$ the elements $(1,\dots,g,\dots,1)$ can be written as a product of at most 19 generators in the generating set C' as we required.

5.2 Upper bound for the diameter of direct power of dihedral groups

Proposition 5.9. *For $n \ge 3$ and $k \ge 1$, there exists a generating set C of minimum size for D_n^k such that*

$$\operatorname{diam}(D_n^k, C) \le \begin{cases} k(2n-2) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,}\\ \frac{n+1}{2} + (k-1)(2n-1) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.}\end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Proof. According to Proposition 4.10 and Remark 5.1, it is enough to consider the case where n is odd. Let $A = \{a, b\}$ be the generating set defined in Proposition 4.10 and C be the associated generating set of D_n^k constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.6. We prove that

$$\operatorname{diam}(D_n^k, C) \le \frac{n+1}{2} + (k-1)(2n-1).$$

Note that every rotation in D_n is a power of a and every reflection in D_n is a power of a multiplied by b. It follows that every element in D_n can be written in the form $a^r b^s$ for some $0 \le r \le n-1$ and $s \in \{0,1\}$. Choose an arbitrary element $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in D_n^k$. In view of the relations $a^i b = ba^{n-i}$ and $a^i = ba^{n-i}b$, we may write x_1 as a word of length $\le \frac{n+1}{2}$ on A. Then there exist $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k \in D_n$ such that

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (x_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)(1, y_2^{-1}x_2, y_3^{-1}x_3, \dots, y_k^{-1}x_k),$$

and

$$l_C(x_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \le \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

Write $y_i^{-1}x_i = a^{r_i}b^{s_i}$ with $0 \le r_i \le n-1$ and $s_i \in \{0,1\}$. By Remark 5.3, the proof is completed by showing that for $2 \le i \le k$,

$$Ml_C(1,\dots,a^{r_i}b^{s_i},\dots,1) \le 2n-1.$$

We will do this by considering the following four cases. The case where $s_i = 0$, r_i is even:

$$\begin{aligned}&(1, \ldots, a^{r_i}b^{s_i}, \ldots, 1)\\&= (1, \ldots, a^{r_i}, \ldots, 1) = (1, \ldots, a^{r_i}, b^{r_i}, \ldots, 1) = (1, \ldots, a, b, \ldots, 1)^{r_i}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$l_C(1, \ldots, a^{r_i}b^{s_i}, \ldots, 1) \leq r_i \leq n - 1 \leq 2n - 1.$$

The case where $s_i = 0$, r_i is odd. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&(1, \ldots, a^{r_i}b^{s_i}, \ldots, 1)\\&= (1, \ldots, a^{r_i}, \ldots, 1) = (1, \ldots, a^{r_i+n}, b^{r_i+n}, \ldots, 1) = (1, \ldots, a, b, \ldots, 1)^{r_i+n}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$l_C(1, \ldots, a^{r_i}b^{s_i}, \ldots, 1) \leq r_i + n \leq 2n - 1.$$

The case where $s_i = 1$, r_i is even:

$$\begin{aligned}&(1, \ldots, a^{r_i}b, \ldots, 1)\\=&(1, \ldots, a^{r_i}, \ldots, 1)(1, \ldots, \overbrace{b}^{i\,\text{th}}, \ldots, 1)\\=&(1, \ldots, a^{r_i}, b^{r_i}, \ldots, 1)(1, \ldots, a^n, \overbrace{b^n}^{i\,\text{th}}, \ldots, 1)\\=&(1, \ldots, a, b, \ldots, 1)^{r_i}(1, \ldots, a, \overbrace{b}^{i\,\text{th}}, \ldots, 1)^n.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$l_C(1, \ldots, a^{r_i}b^{s_i}, \ldots, 1) \leq r_i + n \leq 2n - 1.$$

It remains to consider the case where $s_i = 1$, r_i is odd. Note that

$$a^{r_i}b = ba^{n-r_i},$$

which entails

$$\begin{aligned}(1, \ldots, a^{r_i}b, \ldots, 1) &= (1, \ldots, ba^{n-r_i}, \ldots, 1)\\&= (1, \ldots, \overbrace{b}^{i\,\text{th}}, \ldots, 1)(1, \ldots, a^{n-r_i}, \ldots, 1)\\&= (1, \ldots, a^n, \overbrace{b^n}^{i\,\text{th}}, \ldots, 1)(1, \ldots, a^{n-r_i}, b^{n-r_i}, \ldots, 1)\\&= (1, \ldots, a, \overbrace{b}^{i\,\text{th}}, \ldots, 1)^n(1, \ldots, a, \overbrace{b}^{i+1-th}, \ldots, 1)^{n-r_i}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$l_C(1, \ldots, a^{r_i}b^{s_i}, \ldots, 1) \leq 2n - r_i \leq 2n - 1.$$

The proof is complete. □

Now the following corollary is immediate by Proposition 5.9.

Corollary 5.10. *The weak conjecture holds for* D_n^k *if* n *is even or* $k \leq \frac{3(n-1)}{2}$.

5.3 Alternating groups and the weak conjecture

Proposition 5.11. *The alternating group A_4 satisfies the weak conjecture.*

Proof. As we mentioned before in Example 4.11, the generating set C constructed in Lemma 4.6 is a generating set of minimum size for A_4^n for $n \geq 2$. We show that $\operatorname{diam}(A_4^n, C) \leq 10n$. Let $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in A_4^n$. By Remark 5.3, it is enough to show that $l_C(1, \dots, 1, g_i, 1, \dots, 1) \leq 10$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Because of the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
(1, \dots, \overbrace{\alpha}^{i\,\text{th}}, \beta, \dots, 1)^3 &= (1, \dots, \overbrace{\alpha}^{i\,\text{th}}, 1, \dots, 1),\\
(1, \dots, \alpha, \overbrace{\beta}^{i\,\text{th}}, \dots, 1)^4 &= (1, \dots, 1, \overbrace{\beta}^{i\,\text{th}}, \dots, 1),\\
(1, \dots, \alpha, \overbrace{\beta}^{i\,\text{th}}, \dots, 1)^2 &= (1, \dots, 1, \overbrace{\beta^2}^{i\,\text{th}}, \dots, 1),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
l_C(1, \dots, \overbrace{\alpha}^{i\,\text{th}}, \dots, 1) &\leq 3,\\
l_C(1, \dots, \overbrace{\beta}^{i\,\text{th}}, \dots, 1) &\leq 4,\\
l_C(1, \dots, \overbrace{\beta^2}^{i\,\text{th}}, \dots, 1) &\leq 2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the elements of A_4 can be represented over the generating set $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ as follows:

$$A_4 = \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha^2, \alpha\beta, \beta\alpha, \beta^2, \alpha\beta\alpha, \alpha\beta^2, \beta\alpha\beta = \alpha\beta^2\alpha, \beta^2\alpha, \beta^2\alpha\beta, \beta\alpha\beta^2\}.$$

Now similarly to the proof of Proposition 5.9 the length of $(1, \dots, \overbrace{g}^{i\,\text{th}}, \dots, 1)$ in the generating set C is at most 10 for every element $g \in A_4$, which completes the proof. ☐

Definition 5.12. *By an n-*basis *of a group G we mean any ordered set of n elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ of G which generates G. Furthermore, two n-bases $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ of G will be called* equivalent *if there exists an automorphism θ of G which transforms one into the other:*

$$x_i\theta = y_i,$$

for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Otherwise the two bases will be called non-equivalent*.*

Example 5.13. *We show that the weak conjecture is true for A_5^k for $k = 2, 3, 4$.*

Proof. Let $a = (1\ 2)(3\ 4), b = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5)$. It is easy to see that the pairs

$$(a, b), (b, a), (a, b^2), (b^2, a)$$

are four non-equivalent 2-basis of A_5. Using Corollary 4.13 we build generating sets of size two for A_5^k, $k = 2, 3, 4$. The result is as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= \{a, b\},\\
C_2 &= \{(a, a), (b, b^2)\},\\
C_3 &= \{(a, b, a), (b, a, b^2)\},\\
C_4 &= \{(a, b, a, b^2), (b, a, b^2, a)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the sets C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_4 are generating sets of minimum size for the groups A_5, A_5^2, A_5^3 and A_5^4, respectively. Using GAP we check that $\operatorname{diam}(A_5, C_1) = 10$ and $\operatorname{diam}(A_5^2, C_2) = 18$. Let (x, y, z) be an arbitrary element in A_5^3. Since $(x, z) \in A_5^2 = \langle (a, a), (b, b^2) \rangle$, there exists a word w representing (x, z) over the generating set C_2 of length at most 18. Hence, $(x, y, z) = (w_1, \bar{w}, w_2)(1, \bar{w}^{-1}y, 1)$, where w_1, w_2 are the first and second components of $w = (x, z)$, respectively. The word $\bar{w}$ is a word in the alphabet a, b corresponding to the word w, in which the pairs $(a, a), (b, b^2)$ are substituted by b, a, respectively. It follows that

$$l_{C_3}(x, y, z) \leq l_{C_3}(w_1, \bar{w}, w_2) + l_{C_3}(1, \bar{w}^{-1}y, 1).$$

It is clear that

$$l_{C_3}(w_1, \bar{w}, w_2) \leq 18.$$

On the other hand, we have $l_{C_3}(1, \bar{w}^{-1}y, 1) \leq 60$, since

$$\operatorname{diam}(A_5, C_1) = 10,\ (1, b, 1) = (a, b, a)^6,\ (1, a, 1) = (b, a, b^2)^5.$$

Therefore, $l_{C_3}(x, y, z) \leq 18 + 60 = 78$, which implies $\operatorname{diam}(A_5^3, C_3) \leq 78$.

Let $(x, y, z, w) \in A_5^4$. Consider the factorization

$$(x, y, z, w) = (x, 1, z, 1)(1, y, 1, w)$$

and the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}(1, b, 1, b^2) &= (a, b, a, b^2)^6,\ (a, 1, a, 1) = (a, b, a, b^2)^5, \\ (1, a, 1, a) &= (b, a, b^2, a)^5,\ (b, 1, b^2, 1) = ((b, a, b^2, a)^6.\end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} l_{C_4}(x, y, z, w) &\leq l_{C_4}(x, 1, z, 1) + l_{C_4}(1, y, 1, w) \\ &\leq \operatorname{diam}(A_5^2, C_2) M l_{C_4}\{(a, 1, a, 1), (b, 1, b^2, 1)\} \\ &+ \operatorname{diam}(A_5^2, C_2) M l_{C_4}\{(1, a, 1, a), (1, b, 1, b^2)\} \\ &\leq 18 \times 6 + 18 \times 6 = 216, \end{aligned}$$

which gives $\operatorname{diam}(A_5^4, C_4) \leq 216$. ☐

5.4 Upper bounds for the diameter of a direct power of a solvable group

Despite our attempts to establish the strong conjecture and the weak conjecture for solvable groups, we could not do it yet. In this section we will present two upper bounds for the diameter of G^n, where G is a solvable group. Although these upper bounds do not coincide with the proposed upper bound in the conjectures, they grow polynomially with respect to n. Since solvable groups have a derived series of finite length our strategy is to find a relation between the diameter of a solvable group and the diameter of its derived subgroup. For this we need to establish a relation between the generating sets of the group and the generating sets of its subgroups. The following lemma, well known as *Schreier Lemma*, gives a generating set for a subgroup of a group with respect to a generating set of the whole group. The generators of the subgroup are usually called Schreier generators. Using Schreier generators we derive a relation between the diameter of a group and the diameter of its subgroup.

Definition 5.14. *Let H be a subgroup of a group G. By a right transversal for G mod H, we mean a subset of G which intersects every right coset Hg in exactly one element.*

Remark 5.15. *Let G be a finite group with a generating set X and a normal subgroup H. It is easy to see that the set $HX = \{Hx : x \in X\}$ is a generating set of G/H. Given an arbitrary element $Hg \in G/H$, Hg can be written as a product of at most $D(G/H)$ elements in HX. Hence, there exist $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{D(G/H)} \in X$ such that $Hg = Hx_1Hx_2H \ldots Hx_{D(G/H)} = Hx_1x_2 \ldots x_{D(G/H)}$. It shows that there always exists a right transversal T for G mod H such that*

$$Ml_X(T) \leq D(G/H),\ 1 \in T.$$

Lemma 5.16. [12] *Let $H \leq G = \langle X \rangle$ and let T be a right transversal for G mod H, with $1 \in T$. Then the set*

$$\{txt_1^{-1} \mid t, t_1 \in T, x \in X, txt_1^{-1} \in H\}$$

generates H.

Using Schreier's Lemma leads to the following observations which we are going to apply for establishing the main result. The first one is [2, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 5.17. *If $1 \neq N$, $N \lhd G$, then the following inequalities hold:*

$$D^s(G) \leq 2D^s(G/N)\, D^s(N) + D^s(G/N) + D^s(N) \leq 4D^s(G/N)\, D^s(N).$$

Here we prove the non symmetric version of Lemma 5.17.

Lemma 5.18. *Let G be a finite group with a generating set X and a normal subgroup H. Let T be a right transversal of G/H such that*

$$Ml_X(T) \leq D(G/H),\ 1 \in T.$$

The following inequality holds:

$$\operatorname{diam}(G, X) \leq D(G/H) + (D(G/H) + 1 + Ml_X(\{t^{-1} \mid t \in T\}))D(H).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$D(G^n) \leq D(G^n/H^n) + (1 + |G|D(G^n/H^n))D(H^n).$$

Proof. Given $g \in G$, we have $g = ht$ for some $h \in H$ and $t \in T$. Hence, we have

$$l_X(g) \leq l_X(t) + l_X(h).$$

Since $Ml_X(T) \leq D(G/H)$, then $l_X(g) \leq D(G/H) + l_X(h)$. Using Lemma 5.16 we get $l_X(h) \leq (D(G/H) + 1 + Ml_X(\{t^{-1} \mid t \in T\}))D(H)$. Combining these two facts gives the upper bound in the first inequality. Now, we prove the second statement. Let X' be a generating set of G^n and let T' be a right transversal of G^n/H^n such that

$$Ml_{X'}(T') \leq D(G^n/H^n).$$

Proceeding as above for the case $n = 1$, it suffices to show that

$$Ml_{X'}(\{t^{-1} \mid t \in T'\}) \leq (|G| - 1)D(G^n/H^n).$$

For given $t \in T'$ we have

$$l_{X'}(t) \leq D(G^n/H^n).$$

Since

$$t^{-1} = t^{o(t)-1},$$

then we obtain

$$l_{X'}(t^{-1}) \leq (o(t) - 1)l_{X'}(t).$$

Hence, we have

$$l_{X'}(t^{-1}) \leq (|G| - 1)D(G^n/H^n),$$

since the order of any element $g \in G^n$ is at most $|G|$. The proof is complete. □

Now we are ready to present two upper bounds for the diameter of a direct power of a solvable group. First, we need to prove the following elementary observation.

The following corollary is straightforward by using Lemma 5.18.

Corollary 5.19. *Let G be a non Abelian solvable group. Let*

$$\{1\} = G^{(l)} \lhd G^{(l-1)} \lhd \ldots \lhd G'' \lhd G' \lhd G$$

be the derived series of G. The following inequality holds:

$$D(G^n) \leq n^l |G| \prod_{i=0}^{l-2} (|G^{(i)}| + 1).$$

Proof. For $n = 1$ it is obvious. Let $n \geq 2$. Since $(G^k)' = (G')^k$ for $k \geq 1$, then the derived series of G^n is

$$\{1\} = (G^{(l)})^n \lhd (G^{(l-1)})^n \lhd \ldots \lhd (G'')^n \lhd (G')^n \lhd G^n. \tag{16}$$

Applying Lemma 5.18 to the group G^n with the subgroup $(G')^n$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} D(G^n) &\leq D(G^n/(G')^n) + (1 + |G| D(G^n/(G')^n) D((G')^n) \\ &= D(G^n/(G')^n) + D((G')^n) + |G| D(G^n/(G')^n) D((G')^n) \\ &\leq D(G^n/(G')^n) D((G')^n) + |G| D(G^n/(G')^n) D((G')^n) \\ &= D(G^n/(G')^n) D((G')^n)(1 + |G|), \end{aligned}$$

the second inequality follows from the fact that $D(G^n/(G')^n), D((G')^n) > 1$ and this is because the quotient group G/G' and the commutator subgroup G' are nontrivial and $n \geq 2$. By repeating the process for the other subgroups in the series (16) we have

$$D(G^n) \leq D(G^n/(G')^n) D((G')^n/(G'')^n) \ldots D((G^{(l-1)})^n) \prod_{i=0}^{l-2} (|G^{(i)}| + 1). \tag{17}$$

Since for every group G with a normal subgroup H we have $G^n/H^n \cong (G/H)^n$, then

$$D(G^n) \leq D((G/G')^n) D((G'/G'')^n) \ldots D((G^{(l-1)})^n) \prod_{i=0}^{l-2} (|G^{(i)}| + 1). \tag{18}$$

Since all the quotient groups in the inequality (18) and the group $G^{(l-1)}$ are Abelian by Corollary 3.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(G^n) \leq\ & n^l D(G/G') D(G'/G'') \cdots \\ & D(G^{(l-2)}/G^{(l-1)}) D((G^{(l-1)}) \prod_{i=0}^{l-2} (|G^{(i)}| + 1) \\ & \leq n^l |G/G'| |G'/G''| \cdots |G^{(l-2)}/G^{(l-1)}| |G^{(l-1)}| \prod_{i=0}^{l-2} (|G^{(i)}| + 1) \\ & = n^l |G| \prod_{i=0}^{l-2} (|G^{(i)}| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

For finding the second upper bound we start by presenting an upper bound for the symmetric diameter of a direct power of a solvable group and then we apply this to find an upper bound for the diameter of such a group.

Proposition 5.20. *If G is a solvable group then*

$$D^s(G^n) \leq 4^{l-1} n^l \, |G|,$$

where l is the length of the derived series of G.

Proof. Let

$$\{1\} = G^{(l)} \lhd G^{(l-1)} \lhd \cdots \lhd G'' \lhd G' \lhd G$$

be the derived series of the group G. Since for $1 \leq i \leq l$ we have

$$(G^{(i)})^n = (G^n)^{(i)},$$

the series

$$\{1\} = (G^{(l)})^n \lhd (G^{(l-1)})^n \lhd \cdots \lhd (G'')^n \lhd (G')^n \lhd G^n$$

is the derived series of the group G^n. Using the second inequality in Lemma 5.17, the maximum of the diameter of the group G^n is bounded above by

$$4^{l-1} \, D^s(G^n/(G')^n) \, D^s((G')^n/(G'')^n) \cdots D^s((G^{(l-2)})^n/(G^{(l-1)})^n) \, D^s((G^{(l-1)})^n). \tag{19}$$

Whereas, for $0 \leq i \leq l-2$ we have

$$(G^{(i)})^n/(G^{(i+1)})^n \cong (G^{(i)}/G^{(i+1)})^n$$

and the factors in a derived series are Abelian, by Corollary 3.2 we get

$$D^s(G^{(i)})^n/(G^{(i+1)})^n \leq n \, |G^{(i)}/G^{(i+1)}| = n \, |G^{(i)}|/|G^{(i+1)}| \tag{20}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq l-2$ and

$$D^s((G^{(l-1)})^n) \leq n \, |G^{(l-1)}|. \tag{21}$$

Substituting the inequalities (20) and (21) in (19), we get

$$D^s(G^n) \leq 4^{l-1} n^l \, |G|,$$

which is the desired conclusion. □

We apply the following Lemma to give an upper bound for the diameter by using the symmetric diameter.

Lemma 5.21. *Let G be a finite group and X be a set of generators. The diameter and the symmetric diameter are related as follows:*

$$\operatorname{diam}(G, X) \leq 2(\operatorname{diam}^s(G, X) + 1)(|X| + 1) \ln |G|.$$

Proof. See [1, Corollary 2.2]. □

Corollary 5.22. *Let G be a solvable group of derived length l and let A be a generating set of G^n of minimum size. Set* $\operatorname{rank}(G) = \alpha$, $\operatorname{rank}(G/G') = \beta$. *The following inequality holds,*

$$\operatorname{diam}(G^n, A) \leq 2(4^{l-1} n^l |G| + 1)(n\beta + 1)n \ln |G|,$$

for $n \geq \alpha/\beta$. In particular, if G is a p-group, then

$$D(G^n) \leq 2(4^{l-1} n^l |G| + 1)(n\beta + 1)n \ln |G|,$$

for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.21 we have,

$$\operatorname{diam}(G^n, A) \leq 2(\operatorname{diam}^s(G^n, A) + 1)(|A| + 1)n \ln |G|.$$

In addition, $\operatorname{diam}^s(G^n, A) \leq D^s(G^n)$ by definition. Now by using Proposition 5.20 and Theorem 4.14 we get the desired conclusion. The second statement follows from these two facts: First, if G is a p-group then every minimal generating set is a generating set of minimum size, which follows from the Burnside's Basis Theorem [4]. Second, by Corollary 4.4, if G is a nilpotent group (note that every p-group is nilpotent) then $\operatorname{rank}(G) = \operatorname{rank}(G/G')$. □

As an example of a non Abelian solvable group which is also a 2-group we verify the *quaternion group* Q_8. Let $Q_8 = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$ be the quaternion group in which

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$$

and

$$ij = k, jk = i, ki = j, ji = -k, kj = -i, ik = -j.$$

We have $Q_8' \cong Z_2$ and $Q_8/Q_8' \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$. The length of the derived series of Q_8 is 2. Hence, $l = 2$ and $\beta = \operatorname{rank}(Z_2 \times Z_2) = 2$ in the notations of corollaries 5.19,5.22. Therefore we have

$$D(Q_8^n) \leq 72n^2$$

by Corollary 5.19 and

$$D(Q_8^n) \leq 2n(32n^2 + 1)(2n + 1)ln(8)$$

by Corollary 5.22.

We now present another upper bound for the diameter of the direct power of the quaternion group Q_8 in the following example.

Example 5.23. *For $n \geq 1$ we have $D(Q_8^n) \leq 8n^2 + 3n$.*

Proof. Consider the normal subgroup $H = \{1, -1\}$. Let X be a generating set of Q_8^n. We have $H^n \triangleleft Q_8^n$. Let T be a right transversal of Q_8^n mod H^n such that

$$1 \in T, Ml_X(T \setminus \{1\}) \leq D(Q_8^n/H^n).$$

Using Lemma 5.18 we have

$$\operatorname{diam}(Q_8^n, X) \leq D(Q_8^n/H^n) + (D(Q_8^n/H^n) + 1 + Ml_X(\{t^{-1} \mid t \in T\}))D(H^n).$$

On the other hand, since $H \cong Z_2$, $Q_8/H \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$, we have

$$\operatorname{diam}(Q_8^n, X) \leq 2n + (2n + 1 + Ml_X(\{t^{-1} \mid t \in T\})n. \tag{22}$$

Since for every $g \in Q_8^n$, $g^4 = 1$, for every $t \in T$, $t^{-1} = t^3$. Hence, the following inequality holds:

$$l_X(t^{-1}) \leq 3l_X(t) \leq 3D(Q_8^n/H^n) \leq 6n.$$

Substituting $Ml_X(\{t^{-1}|t \in T\}$ by $6n$ in (22) we get

$$D(Q_8^n) \leq 8n^2 + 3n. \quad \square$$

6 Conclusion

Despite our attempts to prove or disprove the conjectures, they remain open problems and at this point of the work it is very difficult to say something about the validation of them. To prove or disprove the weak conjecture for dihedral groups, alternating groups and solvable groups is still open. Nevertheless, improve the upper bounds in Corollaries 5.19 and 5.22 could be one step towards proving the weak or strong conjecture for solvable groups.

7 Acknowledgments

This is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis, written under the supervision of Professors Jorge Almeida and Pedro Silva at the University of Porto with the financial support from FCT (Fundação para a Ciĉncia e a Tecnologia) with the reference SFRH/BD/51170/2010. The author wishes to express her thanks to her supervisors for suggesting the problem and for many stimulating conversations.

References

[1] L. Babai, *On the diameter of Eulerian orientations of graphs*, Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (New York), ACM, 2006, pp. 822–831. MR 2368881

[2] L. Babai and A. Seress, *On the diameter of permutation groups*, European J. Combin. **13** (1992), no. 4, 231–243. MR 1179520 (93h:20001)

[3] J. Bamberg, N. Gill, T. P. Hayes, H. A. Helfgott, A. Seress, and P. Spiga, *Bounds on the diameter of Cayley graphs of the symmetric group*, J. Algebraic Combin. **40** (2014), no. 1, 1–22. MR 3226815

[4] Jr. M. Hall, *The theory of groups*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1976, Reprinting of the 1968 edition. MR 0414669 (54 #2765)

[5] P. Hall, *The eulerian functions of a group*, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) **7** (1936), 134–151.

[6] T. W. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 73, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980, Reprint of the 1974 original. MR 600654 (82a:00006)

[7] N. Karimi, *reaching the minimum ideal in a finite semigroup*, 2015, PhD thesis.

[8] B. Klopsch and V. F. Lev, *How long does it take to generate a group?*, J. Algebra **261** (2003), no. 1, 145–171. MR 1967159 (2004e:20093)

[9] ———, *Generating abelian groups by addition only*, Forum Math. **21** (2009), no. 1, 23–41. MR 2494883 (2010c:20068)

[10] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory: presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations*, Dover publications, INC, New York, 1976.

[11] D. Meier and J. Wiegold, *Growth sequences of finite groups. V*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **31** (1981), no. 3, 374–375. MR 633445 (82i:20041b)

[12] A. Seress, *Permutation group algorithms*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 152, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. MR 1970241 (2004c:20008)

[13] J. Wiegold, *Growth sequences of finite groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 17 (1974), 133–141.

[14] ———, *Growth sequences of finite groups. II*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **20** (1975), no. part 2, 225–229. MR 0376856 (51 #13031)

[15] ———, *Growth sequences of finite groups. III*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **25** (1978), no. 2, 142–144. MR 499355 (80c:20028)

[16] ———, *Growth sequences of finite groups. IV*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **29** (1980), no. 1, 14–16. MR 566271 (82i:20041a)

[17] ———, *Growth sequences of finite semigroups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser.A) 43 (1987), 16–20.

arXiv:1506.02695v2 [math.GR] 2 Oct 2015

DIAMETER OF A DIRECT POWER OF A FINITE GROUP

KARIMI, N.

ABSTRACT. We present two conjectures concerning the diameter of a direct power of a finite group. The first conjecture states that the diameter of G^n with respect to any generating set is at most $n(|G| - \mathrm{rank}(G))$; and the second one states that there exists a generating set A, of minimum size, for G^n such that the diameter of G^n with respect to A is at most $n(|G| - \mathrm{rank}(G))$. We will establish evidence for each of the above mentioned conjectures.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group with a generating set A. By the diameter of G with respect to A we mean the maximum over $g \in G$ of the length of the shortest word in A expressing g. Our definition here is a bit different from the one which has been usually considered in the literature. Usually group theorists define the diameter to be the maximum over $g \in G$ of the length of a shortest word in $A \cup A^{-1}$ expressing g. Let us call this version of the diameter to be "symmetric diameter". There are several results in the literature concerning the estimation of the symmetric diameter of a finite group. For instance, asymptotic estimates of the symmetric diameters of non-Abelian simple groups with respect to various types of generating sets can be found in the survey [5], which also lists related work, e.g. on the diameters of permutation groups. Furthermore, the area has progressed a lot over the last few years (see for instance [6, 7, 8, 1, 2, 4, 3]).

It is the first time that the author is implicitly interested in the diameter of a direct power of a finite group. In fact, the motivation comes from a semigroup problem. More precisely, in [11] the notion of depth parameters for a finite semigroup has been introduced and beside estimating the depth parameters of some families of finite semigroups, the behaviour of one of that parameters with respect to the direct product and wreath product have been verified . There, the diameter of a direct power of a finite group (i.e. the group of units of a semigroup) plays an essential role in the control of the length of a semigroup element.

Throughout this paper, G^n denotes the n-th direct power of G, and $\mathrm{rank}(G)$ denotes the cardinality of any of the smallest generating sets of G. Let A be a generating set of minimum size of G^n (i.e. $\mathrm{rank}(G^n) = |A|$). Our objective is to find a reasonable answer to the following question. How large can be the diameter of G^n with respect to A? A simple argument shows that the diameter of a group with respect to any generating set is bounded above by the group order minus the group rank (see Proposition 2.5). This bound is tight for cyclic groups. It is obvious that G^n is not cyclic for $n \geq 2$ and $|G| \neq 1$. Then, the following natural question arises. Is there any smaller upper bound (less than $|G^n| - \mathrm{rank}(G^n)$) for the diameter of G^n? In fact, $|G|^n - \mathrm{rank}(G^n)$ is exponentially large in terms of $|G|$. The more precise question in which we are really interested is whether the

---

Date: October 5, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20B05,20B30,20D60.

Key words and phrases. diameter of a group, direct power, generating set, length of elements.

diameter of a direct power of a finite group is polynomially bounded. These questions lead to the following conjectures.

Conjecture (strong)**.** *Let G be a finite group. The diameter $D(G^n)$ is at most $n(|G| - \mathrm{rank}(G))$.*

Conjecture (weak)**.** *Let G be a finite group. There exists a generating set A for G^n of minimum size such that*

$$\mathrm{diam}(G^n, A) \leq n(|G| - \mathrm{rank}(G)). \tag{1}$$

Note that both conjectures hold for trivial groups. As the second conjecture is a consequence of the first one, it may be easier to establish. Anyway, each of the proposed conjectures has advantages and disadvantages when attempting to prove them. The difficulty in proving the weak conjecture is dealing with generating sets of minimum size for the direct powers of finite groups. Finding such a generating set is itself a problem. Nevertheless, there exist in the literature many results concerning the computation of the rank of a direct power of a finite group, e.g., [14, 16, 17, 18, 19]. On the other hand, every direct power G^n of a finite group has a generating set, called canonical generating set (Definition 2.6), which satisfies the inequality (1). So, the weak conjecture for groups whose rank is equal to the size of the canonical generating set is true. For instance, the canonical generating set for direct powers of nilpotent groups is a generating set of minimum size (Corollary 3.4). Therefore, nilpotent groups satisfy the weak conjecture easily. However, the canonical generating set is not always a generating set of minimum size. Then the difficulty of establishing the weak conjecture appears when we consider the groups for which the rank of their direct powers is not equal to the size of the canonical generating set. On the other hand, the strong conjecture concerns arbitrary generating sets. It has the advantage that there are many results in the literature concerning the computation of the diameter of a finite group with respect to an arbitrary generating set. Hence, we may use the upper bounds obtained by other authors to approach the strong conjecture .

This paper is organised as follows. After Preliminaries, in section 3 we present evidence for the conjectures. In 3.1, we prove that Abelian groups satisfy the strong conjecture. In 3.2, we show that the weak conjecture is true for nilpotent groups, dihedral groups, symmetric groups and finally for some powers of imperfect groups.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper all groups are considered to be finite . The subset $A \subseteq G$ is a generating set of G, if every element of G can be expressed as a sequence of elements in A.[a] By the rank of G, denoted by $\mathrm{rank}(G)$, we mean the cardinality of any of the smallest generating sets of G. By the length of a non identity element $g \in G$, with respect to A, we mean the minimum length of a sequence expressing g in terms of elements in A . Denote this parameter by $l_A(g)$.

Convention 2.1. *We consider the length of identity to be zero, i.e. $l_A(1) = 0$ for every generating set A.*

Definition 2.2. *Let G be a finite group with generating set A. By the* diameter *of G with respect to A we mean*

$$\mathrm{diam}(G, A) := \max\{l_A(g) : g \in G\}.$$

Notation 2.3. *Let G be a finite group with a generating set A. Let S be a subset of G. Denote by $Ml_A(S)$ the maximum of $l_A(s)$ over all s in S . Note that $Ml_A(G) = \mathrm{diam}(G, A)$.*

---

[a] Usually $A \subseteq G$ is considered to be a generating set, if every element of G can be expressed as a sequence of elements in $A \cup A^{-1}$. When G is finite the definitions coincide.

Notation 2.4. *Denote by* $D(G)$ *the maximum diameter over all generating sets of* G.

The following proposition gives a general upper bound for the diameter of a finite group.

Proposition 2.5. *Let* G *be a finite group. The inequality* $D(G) \leq |G| - \operatorname{rank}(G)$ *holds.*

Proof. Let X be an arbitrary generating set of G. It is enough to show that $\operatorname{diam}(G, X) \leq |G| - \operatorname{rank}(G)$. We can suppose, without loss of generality, that $1 \notin X$. Let $\operatorname{diam}(G, X) = t$. There exist $g \in G$ and $x_1, x_2 \dots, x_t \in X$ such that $g = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_t$ and t is the smallest number for which g has such a kind of decomposition in X. Hence $x_1, x_1x_2, \dots, x_1x_2 \cdots x_t$ are t distinct non identity elements of G. On the other hand, X has $|X| - 1$ elements distinct from $x_1, x_1x_2, \dots, x_1x_2 \cdots x_t$. By adding the identity to these distinct elements we get $|G| \geq t + |X| - 1 + 1$, which gives the inequality $\operatorname{diam}(G, X) \leq |G| - |X|$. Now, the result follows from $\operatorname{rank}(G) \leq |X|$. $\square$

The next definition introduce a generating set (let us call it canonical) for any direct power G^n with respect to a fix generating set of G.

Definition 2.6. *Let* G *be a finite group with a generating set* A. *By the* canonical generating set *of* G^n *with respect to* A, *we mean the set*

$$C^n(A) := \{(1, \dots, \overbrace{a}^{i\,\text{th}}, \dots, 1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, a \in A\}.$$

We explain the following easy fact as a remark because we are going to use it frequently.

Remark 2.7. *Let* $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in G^n = \langle A \rangle$. *Since* $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ *is a product of* n *elements of the form* $(1, \dots, g_i, \dots, 1)$, *then we have*

$$l_A(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_A(1, \dots, g_i, \dots, 1). \tag{2}$$

Lemma 2.8. *Let* G *be a finite group with a generating set* A. *For* $n \geq 1$ *the following inequality holds:*

$$\operatorname{diam}(G^n, C^n(A)) \leq n \operatorname{diam}(G, A).$$

Proof. Use Remark 2.7. Then Definition 2.6 gives the desired conclusion. $\square$

The following easy lemma gives an upper bound for the diameter of a direct power of a finite group G in terms of the diameter of the group G.

Lemma 2.9. *For a given generating set* A *of* G^n, *we have*

$$\operatorname{diam}(G^n, A) \leq M l_A(C^n(X)) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{diam}(G, A\pi_i),$$

where $\pi_i : G^n \to G$ *maps each element to its* i*-th coordinate and* $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (A\pi_i \setminus \{1\})$.

Proof. Let $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ the set $A\pi_i \setminus \{1\}$ is a generating set of G. Hence each g_i is generated by a sequence of length at most $\operatorname{diam}(G, A\pi_i)$ in $A\pi_i \setminus \{1\}$. Let $g_i = g_{i1} g_{i2} \dots g_{ik_i}$ for some $g_{i_1} g_{i2}, \dots, g_{ik_i} \in A\pi_i \setminus \{1\}$ and $k_i \leq \operatorname{diam}(G, A\pi_i)$. This follows that

$$l_A(1, \dots, g_i, \dots, 1) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} l_A(1, \dots, g_{ij}, \dots, 1). \tag{3}$$

Substituting (3) into (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} l_A(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} l_A(1, \ldots, g_{ij}, \ldots, 1) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} M l_A(C^n(X)) \\ &= M l_A(C^n(X)) \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \\ &\leq M l_A(C^n(X)) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{diam}(G, A\pi_i), \end{aligned}$$

in which the second inequality is due to the fact that $(1, \ldots, g_{ij}, \ldots, 1) \in C^n(X)$. □

3. Evidence for the strong and the weak conjectures

3.1. **Strong conjecture.** We easily establish strong conjecture for Abelian groups by using Theorem 3.1 which have been proved in [12] .

Theorem 3.1. [12] *Let G be a finite Abelian group which is a direct product of cyclic subgroups whose orders $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k$ satisfy $m_i \mid m_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Then we have*

$$D(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (m_i - 1).$$

Corollary 3.2. *The strong conjecture holds for Abelian groups.*

Proof. Let G be a finite Abelian group which is a direct product of cyclic subgroups $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k$ whose orders $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k$ satisfy $m_i \mid m_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Then we have $G^n \cong C_1^n \times C_2^n \times \cdots \times C_k^n$. Using Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$D(G^n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n\,(|C_i| - 1) = n \sum_{i=1}^{k} (|C_i| - 1) \leq n\,(|G| - \operatorname{rank}(G)). \quad \square$$

3.2. **Weak conjecture.** For proving the weak conjecture, the first thing which we need is to find a generating set of minimum size for G^n. Regarding to Lemma 2.8 and Proposition 2.5 , if the canonical generating set of G^n is a generating set of minimum size, then G satisfies the weak conjecture easily. But in general the canonical generating set is not always a generating set of minimum size. In what follows we determine a family of finite groups whose the canonical generating set, with respect to a generating set of minimum size of G, is a generating set of minimum size of G^n. Then we show that nilpotent groups are contained in this family and so they satisfy the weak conjecture.

Lemma 3.3. [16] *Let G be a finite group and k be a positive integer. The following inequalities hold:*

$$k \operatorname{rank}(G/G') \leq \operatorname{rank}(G^k) \leq k \operatorname{rank}(G), \tag{4}$$

where G' is the commutator subgroup of G.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a finite group. For $n \geq 1$, if* $\operatorname{rank}(G) = \operatorname{rank}(G/G')$*, then* $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = n \operatorname{rank}(G)$*. Furthermore, if A is a generating set of minimum size of G, then $C^n(A)$ is a generating set of minimum size of G^n.*

Proof. The first statement is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.3. The second one is obtained from the fact that the size of canonical generating set $C^n(A)$ is equal to $n\,|A|$. ☐

Lemma 3.5. *Every group G with the property* $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = n \operatorname{rank}(G)$*, satisfies the weak conjecture.*

Proof. Let A be a generating set of minimum size of G. By Lemma 3.4, $C^n(A)$ is a generating set of minimum size of G^n. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.8, we have $\dim(G^n, C^n(A)) \leq n\ \dim(G, A)$. We now apply Proposition 2.5 which completes the proof. ☐

3.2.1. *Nilpotent groups.*

Lemma 3.6. *If G is nilpotent, then rank*$(G) = \operatorname{rank}(G/G')$.

Proof. Note that, if H is a homomorphic image of a finite group G, then $\operatorname{rank}(H) \leq \operatorname{rank}(G)$. Therefore, it is enough to show that $\operatorname{rank}(G) \leq \operatorname{rank}(G/G')$ for every finite nilpotent group G. Let $A = \{g_1G', g_2G', \ldots, g_kG'\}$ be a generating set of G/G' of minimum size. Consider an arbitrary element $g \in G$. There exist some $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ such that $gG' = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \ldots g_{i_l} G'$. This shows that G is generated by $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k\}$ together with some elements in G'. Because G is nilpotent, it is generated by $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k\}$ alone, see [13, page 350]. Therefore, we get $\operatorname{rank}(G) \leq \operatorname{rank}(G/G')$, which completes the proof. ☐

Corollary 3.7. *The weak conjecture holds for nilpotent groups.*

Proof. Let G be a nilpotent group. Now apply Lemmas 3.6, 3.4 and 3.5, respectively. ☐

Finding a generating set of minimum size for a direct power of a group whose $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) \neq n \operatorname{rank}(G)$ is itself a problem. Nevertheless, there exist several results concerning the computation of the rank of a direct power of a finite group, e.g., [14, 16, 17, 18, 19]. We use such kind of results to find generating sets of minimum size for direct powers of symmetric group S_n and dihedral group D_n.

Definition 3.8. *A group is said to be* perfect *if it equals its own commutator subgroup; otherwise it is called* imperfect.

Lemma 3.9. *Let G be a finite imperfect group. If G is generated by k elements of mutually coprime orders, then* $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = n$*, for $n \geq k$.*

Proof. Because G is not perfect, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) \geq n$. Suppose $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$ is a generating set of G such that the a_i's are of mutually coprime orders. Let $n \geq k$. We construct a generating set of size n for G^n. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, define the elements $g_i \in G^n$ as follows:

$$g_i = (1, \ldots, \overbrace{a_1}^{i\,\text{th}}, a_2, \ldots, a_k, \ldots, 1) \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-k+1,$$

$$g_i = (a_{n-i+2}, a_{n-i+3}, \ldots, a_k, 1, \ldots, 1, \overbrace{a_1}^{i\,\text{th}}, \ldots, a_{n-i+1}) \qquad \text{for } n-k+2 \leq i \leq n.$$

We prove that $C = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$ is a generating set of G^n. If we show that C generates $C^n(A)$, then we are done. Choose an arbitrary element $(1, \dots, a_i, \dots, 1) \in C^n(A)$. Since the a_i's are of mutually coprime orders, there exists a positive integer ℓ such that

$$(1, \dots, a_i, \dots, 1) = (1, \dots, a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_k, \dots, 1)^{\ell}.$$

This yields the desired conclusion. □

3.2.2. *Dihedral groups D_n.*

Definition 3.10. *The dihedral group D_n is the group of symmetries of a regular polygon with n sides.*

We consider the *dihedral group D_n* as a subgroup of S_n.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $k \geq 2$. For odd n, the rank of D_n^k is k; and for even n, the rank of D_n^k is $2k$.*

Proof. Suppose for the moment that n is odd. Let

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n-1 & n \\ 1 & n & n-1 & \dots & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that $A = \{a, b\}$ is a generating set of D_n. Because a, b have coprime orders, Lemma 3.9 gives the desired conclusion.

Now let n be even. The commutator subgroup of D_n is a cyclic group of order $\frac{n}{2}$, and the quotient group is the Klein four-group and thus

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\frac{D_n}{D_n'}\right) = 2.$$

On the other hand, we have $\operatorname{rank}(D_n) = 2$. Using Lemma 3.4, we get $\operatorname{rank}(D_n^k) = 2k$. □

Theorem 3.12. *The dihedral group D_n satisfies the weak conjecture for $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 3.11 and Lemma 3.5, it is enough to consider the case where n is odd. Let $A = \{a, b\}$ be the generating set defined in Proposition 3.11 and $C = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$ be the associated generating set of D_n^k constructed in the proof of Lemma 3.9. We prove that $\operatorname{diam}(D_n^k, C) \leq k\,(2n-2)$.

We first consider $k = 2$. Since $ab = ba^{-1}$ and $ba = a^{-1}b$, it is easy to check that for $s, t \geq 1$,

$$g_1^s g_2^t = g_2^{(-1)^s t} g_1^{(-1)^t s}. \tag{5}$$

Let $x \in D_n^2$. It is shown that $l_C(x) \leq 2(2n-2)$. Using (5) and $o(g_1) = o(g_2) = 2n$, we may assume $x = g_1^i g_2^j$ for some $0 \leq i, j \leq 2n-1$. If $i, j \leq 2n-2$, then we are done. We argue the case that at least one of the powers is $2n-1$. Without loss of generality, let $i = 2n-1$ and $j > 2n-3$. Using (5), we get either $x = g_2^{2n-j} g_1$ or $x = g_2^{2n-j} g_1^{2n-1}$ if j is odd or even, respectively; and in the both cases the inequality $l_C(x) \leq 2(2n-2)$ holds.

Now we consider $k \geq 3$. In what follows the subindex i is considered to be i modulo k. An easy computation shows that for $s, t \geq 1$,

$$g_i^s g_{i+1}^t = g_{i+1}^{(-1)^s t} g_i^s \quad \text{and} \quad g_{i+1}^t g_i^s = g_i^s g_{i+1}^{(-1)^s t} \qquad \text{for } i \geq 1, \tag{6}$$

$$g_i^s g_j^t = g_j^t g_i^s \qquad \text{for } j \notin \{i-1, i+1\}. \tag{7}$$

Let $x \in D_n^k$. We show that $l_C(x) \leq k(2n-2)$. Using (6), (7) and since $o(g_i) = 2n$, we may assume $x = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} g_3^{i_3} \dots g_k^{i_k}$ for some $0 \leq i_j \leq 2n-1$. If $i_j \leq 2n-2$ for every $j \geq 1$, then we are done. We argue the case that at least one i_j is $2n-1$. Repeated applications of (6) and (7) lead to the following equations

$$g_j^{2n-1} g_{j+1}^{2n-1} \dots g_{j+t}^{2n-1} = g_{j+t}\ g_{j+t-1}\ \dots g_{j+1} g_j^{2n-1}, \tag{8}$$

$$g_j^{2n-1} g_{j+1}^{i_{j+1}} = g_{j+1}^{2n-i_{j+1}} g_j^{2n-1}, \tag{9}$$

for $j, t \geq 1$. Note that the length of the sequence in the right side of (8) is $t+2n-1 \leq (t+1)(2n-2)$. Furthermore, at least one side of (9) has length at most $2(2n-2)$. Now consider the following two cases. First suppose that $i_k \neq 2n-1$ or $i_k = 2n-1$, $i_{k-1} = 2n-1$. In this case, the sequence $g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} g_3^{i_3} \dots g_k^{i_k}$ is a concatenation of subsequences of the following forms: A sequence such as the left side of (8), a sequence such as the left side of (9) with $i_{j+1} \leq 2n-2$ and a sequence in which all the powers are less than or equal to $2n-2$. Hence by starting from $x = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} g_3^{i_3} \dots g_k^{i_k}$ and using equations (8),(9) one can always find a sequence of length at most $k(2n-2)$ which presents x. Now suppose that $i_k = 2n-1$, $i_{k-1} \neq 2n-1$. If i_1 is even, let $x = g_2^{i_2} g_3^{i_3} \dots g_k^{2n-1} g_1^{2n-i_1}$; otherwise let $x = g_2^{2n-i_2} g_3^{i_3} \dots g_k^{2n-1} g_1^{2n-i_1}$. It is easily seen that the new presentations of x are sequences with the property of the previous case. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

3.2.3. *Symmetric groups S_n.* Here, our goal is to show that the symmetric group S_n satisfies the weak conjecture for $n \geq 2$. First, we need to find a generating set of minimum size for S_n^k. Denote by $\operatorname{ord}(g)$ the order of a group element g.

Lemma 3.13. *For $n \geq 3$, the symmetric group S_n is generated by two elements of coprime order.*

Proof. Define the permutations a, a' and b as follows:

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, a' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is known that the full cycle a and the transposition b generate S_n [9]. On the other hand, note that $a'b = a$. Hence the sets $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a', b\}$ are generating sets of S_n. Note that

$$\operatorname{ord}(a) = n,\ \operatorname{ord}(b) = 2,\ \operatorname{ord}(a') = n-1.$$

Therefore, for odd n, the set $A = \{a, b\}$ and, for even n, the set $A' = \{a', b\}$ are the desired generating sets. □

Corollary 3.14. *For $k \geq 2$, the equality $\operatorname{rank}(S_n^k) = k$ holds.*

Proof. Since the derived subgroup of S_n is A_n and S_n is not perfect, the assertion follows immediately by Lemmas 3.9 and 3.13. □

From now on, let A and A' be the generating sets defined in Lemma 3.13 and C and C' be the corresponding generating sets of S_n^k constructed in the proof of Lemma 3.9, for odd and even n, respectively. By Corollary 3.14, C and C' are generating sets of minimum size of S_n^k for odd and even n, respectively.

Lemma 3.15.

$$\operatorname{diam}(S_n, A) \leq (n-1)(2n-3)(n+1), \quad \operatorname{diam}(S_n, A') \leq (n-1)(2n-3)(2n+1).$$

Proof. A simple calculation shows that, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$a^{n-i+1}ba^{i-1} = (a'b)^{n-i+1}b(a'b)^{i-1} = (i, i+1).$$

Therefore, we have

$$l_A(i, i+1) \leq n+1, \ l_{A'}(i, i+1) \leq 2n+1.$$

Let $(i, i+k)$ be an arbitrary transposition in S_n. Since

$$\begin{aligned}(i, i+k) \quad &= \quad (i, i+1)(i+1, i+2)\cdots(i+k-1, i+k)(i+k-2, i+k-1) \\ &\quad\ \cdots(i+1, i+2)(i, i+1),\end{aligned}$$

every transposition is a product of at most $2n-3$ transpositions of the form $(i, i+1)$. It follows that

$$l_A(i, i+k) \leq (2n-3)(n+1), \ l_{A'}(i, i+k) \leq (2n-3)(2n+1).$$

Consider a permutation σ in S_n. Because every permutation in S_n is a product of at most $n-1$ transpositions, we have

$$l_A(\sigma) \leq (n-1)(2n-3)(n+1), \ l_{A'}(\sigma) = (n-1)(2n-3)(2n+1).$$

The proof is complete. □

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Theorem 3.16. *The symmetric group S_n satisfies the weak conjecture for* $n \geq 2$.

Proof. The symmetric group S_2 is Abelian so satisfies the strong conjecture and consequently the weak conjecture. The symmetric group S_3 may be considered as the dihedral group D_3 which satisfies the weak conjecture by Theorem 3.12. Using GAP [15] says that $\operatorname{diam}(S_4, A') = 7$ and the elements of S_4 in the generating set $\{a', b\}$ are expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}S_4 =\{&a', b, a'^2, a'b, ba', b^2, a'ba', a'b^2, ba'b, b^2a', (a'b)^2, a'b^2a', (ba')^2, ba'b^2, b^2a'b, (a'b)^2a', (a'b)^2b, a'b^2a'b, \\ &ba'b^2a', b^2a'ba', (a'b)^2ba', a'b^2a'ba', ba'b^2a'b, (a'b)^2ba'\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since we have

$$(1, \ldots, a', b, \ldots, 1)^2 = (1, \ldots, 1, b^2, \ldots, 1), \tag{10}$$

$$(1, \ldots, a', b, \ldots, 1)^4 = (1, \ldots, 1, b, \ldots, 1), \tag{11}$$

$$(1, \ldots, a', b, \ldots, 1)^3 = (1, \ldots, a', 1, \ldots, 1), \tag{12}$$

then

$$l_{C'}(1, \ldots, b^2, \ldots, 1) \leq 2, \tag{13}$$

$$l_{C'}(1, \ldots, b, \ldots, 1) \leq 4, \tag{14}$$

$$l_{C'}(1, \ldots, a', \ldots, 1) \leq 3. \tag{15}$$

Hence, it is easily seen that for every $g \in S_4$ and for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $(1, \ldots, \overbrace{g}^{i-th}, \ldots, 1)$ can be written as a product of at most 19 generators in C'. Therefore, by Remark 2.7 any element in S_4^k can be expressed as a sequence of length at most $19k$ in C'. Since C' is a generating set of minimum size and $19 \leq 4! - 2$, then S_4 satisfies the weak conjecture.

Let $n \geq 5$. According to Lemma 2.9 we have

$$\operatorname{diam}(S_n^k, C) \leq Ml_C(C^k(X)) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{diam}(S_n, C\pi_i), \tag{16}$$

$$\operatorname{diam}(S_n^k, C') \leq Ml'_C(C^k(X')) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{diam}(S_n, C'\pi_i), \tag{17}$$

where $C\pi_i = \{a, b, 1\}$, $C'\pi_i = \{a', b, 1\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Consequently, we have

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (C\pi_i \setminus \{1\}) = \{a, b\}, \ X' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (C'\pi_i \setminus \{1\}) = \{a', b\},$$

which gives

$$C^k(X) = \{(1, \ldots, a, \ldots, 1) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{(1, \ldots, b, \ldots, 1) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$
$$C^k(X') = \{(1, \ldots, a', \ldots, 1) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{(1, \ldots, b, \ldots, 1) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

If n is odd, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, we have

$$(1, \ldots, \overbrace{a}^{i-th}, 1, \ldots, 1) = (1, \ldots, \overbrace{a}^{i-th}, b, \ldots, 1)^{n+1}, \quad (1, \ldots, 1, \overbrace{b}^{i-th}, \ldots, 1) = (1, \ldots, a, \overbrace{b}^{} i - th, \ldots, 1)^n.$$

If n is even, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, we have

$$(1, \ldots, \overbrace{a'}^{i-th}, 1, \ldots, 1) = (1, \ldots, \overbrace{a}^{i-th}, b, \ldots, 1)^n, \quad (1, \ldots, 1, \overbrace{b}^{i-th}, \ldots, 1) = (1, \ldots, a', \overbrace{b}^{i-th}, \ldots, 1)^{n-1}.$$

It follows that

$$Ml_C(C^k(X)) \leq n + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad Ml_{C'}(C^k(X')) \leq n \tag{18}$$

respectively for odd and even n.

Using GAP for the symmetric groups S_5 and S_6 gives $\operatorname{diam}(S_5, A) = 11$ and $\operatorname{diam}(S_6, A') = 17$. Therefore by the inequalities (16) ,(17) and (18) we have $\operatorname{diam}(S_5^k, C) \leq 66k$ and $\operatorname{diam}(S_6^k, C') \leq 102k$, which establishes the weak conjecture for the symmetric groups S_5 and S_6.

Let $n \geq 7$. Applying Lemma 3.15 and inequalities (16) and (17) we conclude that $\operatorname{diam}(S_n^k, C) \leq k(n+1)(n-1)(2n-3)(n+1)$, where n is odd; and $\operatorname{diam}(S_n^k, C') \leq k\, n(n-1)(2n-3)(2n+1)$, where n is even. Now by induction on n, it is easily seen that $(n+1)(n-1)(2n-3)(n+1) \leq (n! - 2)$ for $n \geq 7$; and $n(n-1)(2n-3)(2n+1) \leq (n! - 2)$ for $n \geq 8$. This completes the proof. $\square$

3.2.4. *Imperfect Groups.* For every finite imperfect group G whose $\operatorname{rank}(G/G') \geq 2$, there exists a constant c (depend on the group G) such that the weak conjecture holds for n-th direct power of G, for $n \geq c$. The key to the proof of this claim is the following theorem has been proved by Wiegold in [17].

Theorem 3.17. [17] *Let G be a non-trivial imperfect group, and set* $\operatorname{rank}(G) = \alpha$, $\operatorname{rank}(G/G') = \beta$. *If $\beta \geq 2$, then equality* $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = \beta n$ *holds, for $n \geq \frac{\alpha-1}{\beta-1}$.*

Proposition 3.18. *Let G be a non trivial imperfect group such that* $\operatorname{rank}(G) = \alpha$ *and* $\operatorname{rank}(G/G') = \beta \geq 2$. *Then G^n satisfies the weak conjecture for $n \geq \frac{\alpha-1}{\beta-1}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.17, we have $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = n \operatorname{rank}(G/G')$ for $n \geq \frac{\alpha-1}{\beta-1}$. Since G/G' is Abelian, then we have $\operatorname{rank}((G/G')^n) = n \operatorname{rank}(G/G')$. Moreover, since $G^n/(G')^n \cong (G/G')^n$ and $(G')^n \cong (G^n)'$, then we get $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = \operatorname{rank}(G^n/(G^n)')$. Now the proof is complete by applying Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 for G^n, respectively. ☐

In [17], also have been stated that for finite solvable groups, Theorem 3.17 can be improved as follows:

Theorem 3.19. [17] *Let G be a non-trivial solvable group, and set* $\operatorname{rank}(G) = \alpha$, $\operatorname{rank}(G/G') = \beta$. *The equality* $\operatorname{rank}(G^n) = \beta n$ *holds, for* $n \geq \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$.

We are thus led to the following proposition.

Proposition 3.20. *Let G be a non trivial solvable group such that* $\operatorname{rank}(G) = \alpha$ *and* $\operatorname{rank}(G/G') = \beta$. *Then G^n satisfies the weak conjecture for* $n \geq \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$.

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as that if Proposition 3.18. ☐

4. CONCLUSION

Despite our attempts to prove or disprove the conjectures, they remain open problems and at this point of the work it is very difficult to say something about the validation of them. To find an example of a non Abelian group for which the strong conjecture is true and to prove the weak conjecture for alternating groups and solvable groups may be more evidence which the author is trying to establish as a future work [10].

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis, written under the supervision of Professors Jorge Almeida and Pedro Silva at the University of Porto with the financial support from FCT (Fundação para a Cinçia e a Tecnologia) with the reference SFRH/BD/51170/2010. The author wishes to express her thanks to her supervisors for suggesting the problem and for many stimulating conversations.

REFERENCES

1. M. Abért and L. Babai, *Finite groups of uniform logarithmic diameter*, Israel J. Math. **158** (2007), 193–203. MR 2342463 (2008m:20070)
2. L. Babai, *On the diameter of Eulerian orientations of graphs*, Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, ACM, New York, 2006, pp. 822–831. MR 2368881
3. L. Babai, R. Beals, and Á. Seress, *On the diameter of the symmetric group: polynomial bounds*, Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, ACM, New York, 2004, pp. 1108–1112 (electronic). MR 2291003
4. L. Babai and P.T. Hayes, *Near-independence of permutations and an almost sure polynomial bound on the diameter of the symmetric group*, Proceedings of the Sixteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, ACM, New York, 2005, pp. 1057–1066 (electronic). MR 2298365
5. L. Babai, G. Hetyei, W. M. Kantor, A. Lubotsky, and Á. Seress, *On the diameter of finite groups*, 31st Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, Vol. I, II (St. Louis, MO, 1990), IEEE Comput. Soc. Press, Los Alamitos, CA, 1990, pp. 857–865. MR 1150735
6. J. Bamberg, N. Gill, T. P. Hayes, H.A. Helfgott, Á. Seress, and P. Spiga, *Bounds on the diameter of Cayley graphs of the symmetric group*, J. Algebraic Combin. **40** (2014), no. 1, 1–22. MR 3226815
7. H. A. Helfgott, Á. Seress, and A.j Zuk, *Random generators of the symmetric group: diameter, mixing time and spectral gap*, J. Algebra **421** (2015), 349–368. MR 3272386

8. H.A. Helfgott and Á. Seress, *On the diameter of permutation groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **179** (2014), no. 2, 611–658. MR 3152942
9. T. W. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 73, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980, Reprint of the 1974 original. MR 600654 (82a:00006)
10. N. Karimi, *Diameter of a direct power of a finite group*, (2015), http://arXiv.org/abs/1506.02695v1 [math.GR].
11. ———, *Reaching the minimum ideal in a finite semigroup*, (2015), http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.01633v1 [math.GR].
12. B. Klopsch and V. F. Lev, *Generating abelian groups by addition only*, Forum Math. **21** (2009), no. 1, 23–41. MR 2494883 (2010c:20068)
13. W. Magnus, A. Karrass, and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory: presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations*, Dover publications, INC, New York, 1976.
14. D. Meier and J. Wiegold, *Growth sequences of finite groups. V*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **31** (1981), no. 3, 374–375. MR 633445 (82i:20041b)
15. L. H. Soicher, *GRAPE, graph algorithms using permutation groups, Version 4.6.1*, http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/ leonard/grape/,grape, May 2012, Refereed GAP package.
16. J. Wiegold, *Growth sequences of finite groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 17 (1974), 133–141.
17. ———, *Growth sequences of finite groups. II*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **20** (1975), no. part 2, 225–229. MR 0376856 (51 #13031)
18. ———, *Growth sequences of finite groups. III*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **25** (1978), no. 2, 142–144. MR 499355 (80c:20028)
19. ———, *Growth sequences of finite groups. IV*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **29** (1980), no. 1, 14–16. MR 566271 (82i:20041a)

Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Campus A. C. Simes - Av. Lourival Melo Mota, s/n, Cidade Universitária, Maceió, Alagoas, 57072-900, Brasil

E-mail address: `nakareme@gmail.com`